# Machine Learning Theory 2023 Lecture 4

### Tim van Erven

Download these slides now from elo.mastermath.nl!

Focus on binary classification:

- Review
- Fundamental theorem: quantitative version
- VC-dimension controls growth function

## The Fundamental Theorem of PAC-Learning

#### Theorem

For binary classification, the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{H}$  has the uniform convergence property.
- 2. Any **ERM** rule is a successful agnostic PAC-learner for  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- 3.  $\mathcal{H}$  is agnostic PAC-learnable.
- 4.  $\mathcal{H}$  is PAC-learnable.
- 5. Any **ERM** rule is a successful PAC-learner for  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- 6.  $\mathcal{H}$  has finite VC-dimension.

VC-dimension characterizes (agnostic) PAC-learnability and uniform convergence!

• Still to prove:  $6 \rightarrow 1$ 

### **Uniform Convergence**

 ${\cal H}$  has the uniform convergence property:

For finite  $m_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{UC}}(\epsilon, \delta)$ ,  $\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \leq \epsilon$  with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ , whenever  $m \geq m_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathrm{UC}}(\epsilon, \delta)$ , for all  $\mathcal{D}, \epsilon, \delta$ .

## **Shattering and VC-Dimension**

### Definition (Restriction of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ )

For finite  $\mathcal{C} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}} = \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_k)) \mid h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$ 

Obtain H<sub>C</sub> by evaluating hypotheses in H only on inputs in C.

## **Shattering and VC-Dimension**

### Definition (Restriction of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ )

For finite  $\mathcal{C} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}} = \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_k)) \mid h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$ 

• Obtain  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$  by evaluating hypotheses in  $\mathcal{H}$  only on inputs in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

### Definition (Shattering)

 $\mathcal{H}$  shatters a finite set  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$  if  $\mathcal{H}$  can classify the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  in all possible ways, i.e.  $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}| = 2^{|\mathcal{C}|}$ .

## Shattering and VC-Dimension

### Definition (Restriction of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ )

For finite  $\mathcal{C} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}} = \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_k)) \mid h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$ 

• Obtain  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$  by evaluating hypotheses in  $\mathcal{H}$  only on inputs in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

### Definition (Shattering)

 $\mathcal{H}$  shatters a finite set  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$  if  $\mathcal{H}$  can classify the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  in all possible ways, i.e.  $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}| = 2^{|\mathcal{C}|}$ .

### Definition (Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) Dimension)

- ▶ VCdim( $\mathcal{H}$ ) = maximum size of finite set  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$  shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$
- VCdim(H) = ∞ if there is no maximum

### **Fundamental Theorem: Quantitative Version**

### **Fundamental Theorem: Quantitative Version**

Does the VC-dimension also characterize the sample complexity of PAC-learning? Yes!

### **Fundamental Theorem: Quantitative Version**

Does the VC-dimension also characterize the sample complexity of PAC-learning? Yes!

#### Theorem

Consider binary classification. Suppose  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) = v < \infty$ . Then there exist absolute constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

1. Uniform convergence:

$$C_1 rac{
u + \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2} \leq m_{\mathcal{H}}^{UC}(\epsilon, \delta) \leq C_2 rac{
u + \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}$$

2. Agnostic PAC-learning:

$$C_1 rac{ extsf{v} + \ln(1/\delta) }{ \epsilon^2 } \leq m_{\mathcal{H}}(\epsilon, \delta) \leq C_2 rac{ extsf{v} + \ln(1/\delta) }{ \epsilon^2 }$$

3. PAC-learning:

$$C_1 rac{
u + \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon} \leq m_{\mathcal{H}}(\epsilon, \delta) \leq C_2 rac{
u \ln(1/\epsilon) + \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon}$$

## **Uniform Convergence Upper Bound**

Upper bound from previous slide that we want to prove:

#### Theorem

Consider binary classification. Suppose  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq v < \infty$ . Then there exists an absolute constant C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \le \epsilon \qquad \text{with probability} \ge 1 - \delta,$$

whenever

$$m \ge C rac{\mathbf{v} + \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}.$$

## **Uniform Convergence Upper Bound**

Upper bound from previous slide that we want to prove:

#### Theorem

Consider binary classification. Suppose  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq v < \infty$ . Then there exists an absolute constant C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}} |\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \leq \epsilon \qquad ext{with probability} \geq 1 - \delta,$$

whenever

$$m \geq C rac{v \ln(1/\epsilon) + \ln(1/\delta) + 1}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Extra factor  $\ln(1/\epsilon)$  is only logarithmic

 It could be avoided with a more involved argument (using a technique called chaining)

## **Uniform Convergence Upper Bound**

Upper bound from previous slide that we want to prove:

#### Theorem

Consider binary classification. Suppose  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq v < \infty$ . Then there exists an absolute constant C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}} |\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \leq \epsilon \qquad ext{with probability} \geq 1 - \delta,$$

whenever

$$m \geq C rac{v \ln(1/\epsilon) + \ln(1/\delta) + 1}{\epsilon^2}.$$

- Extra factor  $\ln(1/\epsilon)$  is only logarithmic
- It could be avoided with a more involved argument (using a technique called chaining)

•  $v = 0 \Rightarrow |\mathcal{H}| = 1$  is trivial, so can assume v > 0 w.l.o.g.

### **Proof Approach**

Will define growth function  $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m)$ . Then

#### Part I: Growth function controls uniform convergence:

 $\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}} |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \leq c\sqrt{\frac{\ln\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m)}{m}} + c\sqrt{\frac{\ln(2/\delta)}{m}} \qquad \text{with probability} \geq 1 - \delta,$ 

Part II: VC-dimension controls growth function:

$$\ln \tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) \leq v \ln \left(\frac{em}{v}\right)$$
 for  $m > v$ .

Finish: combine Parts I and II, and find lower bound on m s.t. sup<sub>h∈H</sub> |L<sub>S</sub>(h) − L<sub>D</sub>(h)| ≤ ε.

### Proof Part II: VC-dimension Controls Growth Function

### **Growth Function**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Finite  ${\cal H}$  have the uniform convergence property.

▶ How do we measure the size of infinite *H*?

**Growth function:** effective size of  $\mathcal{H}$  at sample size *m*:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) = \max_{\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}: |\mathcal{C}|=m} |\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}|$$

Interpretation: How many truly different hypotheses are there when we only observe *m* inputs C = {x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>m</sub>}?

▶ If  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite, then  $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) \leq |\mathcal{H}|$ 

### Sauer's Lemma

Growth function: 
$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) = \max_{|\mathcal{C}|=m} |\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}|$$

### Lemma (Sauer-Shelah-Perles)

Suppose  $VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq v < \infty$ . Then the growth function is bounded by

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} \binom{m}{i} \leq \begin{cases} 2^{m} & \text{if } m \leq \nu \\ \left(\frac{em}{\nu}\right)^{\nu} & \text{if } m > \nu. \end{cases}$$

- VC-dimension v determines switch from exponential to polynomial growth in m.
- Case m > v is what we need to show for Part II.

Saver's Lemma For all Hand all m  $t_{31}(m) = 2^{V} {\binom{m}{i}},$ where ty(m) = max |He| Prodi U; || Show: For any VC of size |C|=m IHc| = |SB = C: H s(uthers B3)  $\leq \sum_{i} (\frac{1}{i})$ (2): H shallers B => 1BI SV nr of sets BEC with IBI= i is (m) summing over iso, ..., V implies (2).

(1) 1Hc1 ≤ 13 B⊆C: H shelters B3 | for any 101=m By induction in m: and any H m-1: 14(1=1=) ( is not shall be the so only B= Ø is shall de rad by H => r.h.s is 1 (Hc) = 2 => c is shallered and B= d is shaltened => r.h.s. = 2. m ? 2: Suppose (1) holds for all m = k. To show: (1) holds for m=k. Let C= Sx1, ..., Xh 7 be arbitiary.

Vant to apply inductive assumption, so define C = 3x2, ..., xKS Let yo = Hy = 3 (42, ..., yk) ( By, s.E. (y1, y2, ..., yk) +Hcz Then yol < 1 Hc under county 1 Hcl, because y = - 1 and y = + 1 may bodh satisfy ~ So let's count how often this happens: y1 = 3(y21.1.14k) + by1 s.t. (y1, y2, ..., yk) + 23 Thus 1H1 = 190/+1921

Will show. ;) 1901 = 13B = C: X1 & B, H shatters B31 ii) | 41 = (38=c: x, EB, H shallers B3) So together . |H\_| = 190 | + 14, 1 ≤ | SB ≤ C ! X shadters B} . which is to be shown i) Recall that c'= 3x2, ..., xk3, Yo= He (induction) 1401 = 1 Hc1 = 13 BEC': H shadters B31 = 12B < < : X, & B, H shald = > B3(

ii) 14,1 = 13B = C : x, EB, H shelfers B3) Define H = ShEH ) = h'EH s.t. handling rec h'(x;) = h(x;) for i= 2, ..., k but h'(x1) \$ h(x1) } Observe! \* H' shallers BSC' => H' shallers Busx13 \* Y, = H'c' (induction) 17,1 = 1 H/1 = 13 B=c': H' shatters B31 = 12B = c': H' sharfers BU \$x,33/ - ISB=C: X, EB, H' shalfers B3/ < (3B = C: x, EB, H shafters B3)

## The Final Inequality (Handwritten)

#### Lemma

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} \binom{m}{i} \leq \begin{cases} 2^m & \text{if } m \leq v \\ \left(\frac{em}{v}\right)^{\nu} & \text{if } m > v \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** Will use binomial theorem:  $(x + y)^m = \sum_{i=0}^m {m \choose i} x^i y^{m-i}$ .

- $m \leq v$ :  $\binom{m}{i} = 0$  for i > m, so  $\sum_{i=0}^{v} \binom{m}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i}$ . Then apply binomial theorem with x = y = 1.
- m > v: [Simpler proof from Anthony and Bartlett, Neural Network Learning: Theoretical Foundations, 1999]

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} \binom{m}{i} \leq \left(\frac{m}{\nu}\right)^{\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} \binom{m}{i} \left(\frac{\nu}{m}\right)^{i} \leq \left(\frac{m}{\nu}\right)^{\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} \left(\frac{\nu}{m}\right)^{i}$$
$$= \left(\frac{m}{\nu}\right)^{\nu} \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{m}\right)^{m} \leq \left(\frac{m}{\nu}\right)^{\nu} (e^{\nu/m})^{m} = \left(\frac{em}{\nu}\right)^{\nu}$$

(First equality follows from binomial theorem with  $x = 1, y = \frac{v}{m}$ .)