Efficient Construction of the Dual Span Program

Serge Fehr

May 12, 1999

Abstract

We consider monotone span programs as a tool for representing, we will say *computing*, general access structures. It is known that if an access structure Γ is computed by a monotone span program $\mathcal{M}$, then the dual access structure Γ^* is computed by a monotone span program $\mathcal{M}^*$ of the same size. We will strengthen this result by proving that such an $\mathcal{M}^*$ not only exists, but can be efficiently computed from $\mathcal{M}$.

1 Introduction

Monotone span programs, introduced by Karchmer and Wigderson in [KW93], are a model of computation, based on linear algebra, for computing monotone functions. Since there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between monotone functions $\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and access structures over the set $\mathcal{P} = \{1,\ldots,n\}$, every access structure Γ can be represented, we will say *computed*, by a monotone span program $\mathcal{M}$.

Every access structure Γ has a natural dual access structure Γ^*. This concept was first defined in [SJM91] and found various occurances like e.g. in partial knowledge proofs [CDS94] or general-adversary multi-party computation [CDM99].

The following question naturally arises. Given a monotone span program $\mathcal{M}$ of reasonable size computing an access structure Γ, does there exist a monotone span program $\mathcal{M}^*$ of reasonable size computing the dual access structure Γ^*, and, if yes, can it be efficiently computed? The first part of the question has been answered in the confirmative in [Gál95], we will show in the following that also the second part can be answered by yes.

2 Definitions and Basic Properties

Let n be some positive integer and Γ a set of subsets of $\mathcal{P} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Definition 1 Γ *is called an* access structure *over* $\mathcal{P}$*, if it is closed under taking supersets, i.e. if* $A \in \Gamma, B \supset A \Rightarrow B \in \Gamma$.
The set $\Gamma^* = \{A \mid A^c \notin \Gamma\}$ *is called the* dual *access structure to* Γ. [1]

Let Γ be an access structure over $\mathcal{P} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Further, let K be some field, M a $(d \times e)$-matrix over K, $\varphi : \{1, \ldots, d\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ a (surjective) function and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ a vector in K^e.

Definition 2 *The quadrupel* $\mathcal{M} = (K, M, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ *is called a* monotone span program*, MSP for short, with* labeling φ *and* target vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$.
The j*-th row of* M *is said to be* labeled *by* k *if* $\varphi(j) = k$.
The MSP $\mathcal{M}$ *is said to* compute *the access structure* Γ*, if*

$$A \in \Gamma \Longleftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathrm{im} M_A^T$$

where the matrix M_A *consists of the rows of* M *which are labeled by a number in* A. [2]
If $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathrm{im} M_A^T$ *holds for some* $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}$*, then we say that* $\mathcal{M}$ accepts A.
The size *of* $\mathcal{M}$ *is* d*, the number of rows of* M.

The claims of the following proposition are known and/or easy to verify. We therefore omit the proof.

Proposition 2.1 *Let* $\mathcal{M} = (K, M, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ *be a MSP computing an access structure* Γ*. Then the following holds.*

1. *It is easy to transform* $\mathcal{M}$ *into a MSP, computing the same access structure* Γ*, of equal size and with target vector* $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.
2. *For any* $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \notin \mathrm{im} M_A^T \Leftrightarrow \exists\, \mathbf{k} : M_A \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}, \langle \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle = 1$.
3. *Deleting a column of* M *(and the corresponding entry of* $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$*), which can be expressed as a linear combination of the other columns, does not change the access structure computed by the MSP. Therefore, we can always assume that* $e \leq d$.

[1] It is easy to see that Γ^* indeed is an access structure.

[2] Also for a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ we let $\mathbf{v}_A$ be the vector consisting of the entries v_j with $\varphi(j) \in A$.

3 Existence

As already mentioned, the following result is proven in [Gál95].

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (K, M, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ be a MSP computing some access structure Γ. Then there exists a MSP $\mathcal{M}^* = (K, M^*, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*)$ of the same size computing the dual access structure Γ^*.*

Even though the proof given in [Gál95] is constructive, the construction is not efficient.

4 Efficient Construction

We now state and prove the main result of this report.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{M} = (K, M, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ be a MSP computing some access structure Γ. Then a MSP $\mathcal{M}^* = (K, M^*, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*)$ of the same size, computing the dual access structure Γ^*, can be efficiently computed. Furthermore, M and M^* satisfy $M^T M^* = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*T}$.*

Proof: Let d and e be the number of rows and columns of the matrix M (whose columns are wlog linear independent) and assume that the target vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in K^e$. Let $\mathbf{v}_0$ be a solution of the linear equation system $M^T\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{e-d}$ a basis for $\ker(M^T)$. Set $M^* = [\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{e-d}]$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^* = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in K^{e-d+1}$.
Note that M^* is a $d \times (d - e + 1)$-matrix which fulfills $M^T M^* = E$ where E's first column equals $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and all other entries are zero, hence $E = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*T}$. Further, every solution of $M^T\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is a linear combination of the columns of M^* in which the first column, $\mathbf{v}_0$, occurs exactly once.
We will show now that the MSP $\mathcal{M}^* = (K, M^*, \varphi, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*)$ computes Γ^*.
Consider a set $A \in \Gamma$. So there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ with $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{A^c} = \mathbf{0}$ and $M^T\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ must be of the form $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = M^*\mathbf{k}$ with the first entry of $\mathbf{k}$ being one. But since $M^*_{A^c}\mathbf{k} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{A^c} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\langle \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^* \rangle = 1$, A^c is not accepted by $\mathcal{M}^*$.
Consider now a set A such that A^c is not accepted by $\mathcal{M}^*$. This means that $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*$ is not in the span of the rows of $M^*_{A^c}$ or, equivalent, there exists a vector $\mathbf{k}$ with $M^*_{A^c}\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\langle \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^* \rangle = 1$. If we set $\mathbf{a} = M^*\mathbf{k}$, then $\mathbf{a}_{A^c} = \mathbf{0}$ and hence $M^T_A\mathbf{a}_A = M^T\mathbf{a} = M^T M^*\mathbf{k} = E\mathbf{k} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Therefore $A \in \Gamma$.
Hence, $A \in \Gamma$ if and only if A^c is not accepted by $\mathcal{M}^*$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ronald Cramer for many interesting and helpful discussions concerning this and other topics and for his support.

References

[CDM99] Ronald Cramer, Ivan Damgård, and Ueli Maurer. General Secure Multi-Party Computation from any Linear Secret-Sharing Scheme. In preparation, 1999.

[CDS94] Ronald Cramer, Ivan Damgård, and Berry Schoenmakers. Proofs of partial knowledge and simplified design of witness hiding protocols. In Yvo G. Desmedt, editor, *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO '94*, volume 839 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 174–187. Springer-Verlag, 21–25 August 1994.

[Gál95] A. Gál. *Combinatorial Methods in Boolean Function Complexity.* PhD thesis, University of Chicago, 1995.

[KW93] Maurizio Karchmer and Avi Wigderson. On span programs. In *8th Annual Conference on Structure in Complexity Theory (SCTC '93)*, pages 102–111, San Diego, CA, USA, May 1993. IEEE Computer Society Press.

[SJM91] G.J. Simmons, W.A. Jackson, and K. Martin. The geometry of shared secret schemes. *Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications*, 1:71–88, 1991.