


December 2019 survey results


In 2019, how many of the CWI scientific meetings did you attend?

52 responses




On which day(s) are you most likely to attend the CWI scientific meetings?

52 responses



What time(s) would you prefer?

52 responses




How many postdoc speakers for a one-hour session?

52 responses




How many PhD speakers for a one-hour session?

52 responses



- 3
- 4
- 5
- none
- 2, Would be nice to hear more in-depth talks
- No special PhD student talks.
- max 3

Which type of "scientific meeting" would you like to see more often (keeping in mind we have 5 sessions per year)?




Do you know where to find a list of past speakers and their uploaded presentations?

52 responses




I find the CWI scientific meetings relevant for me.

52 responses




I find the talks given at the scientific meetings too technical for me to understand properly.

52 responses



I prefer to listen to shorter presentations per speaker, so that there is more time for Q&A.

52 responses



Selected comments

- *I think it is a missed opportunity that the talks are not recorded.*
- *There is a lot of difference in technical level of the talks. It would be better if all talks were understandable for a general CWI audience.*
- *The talks are usually too technical for me. I do visit the Scientific Meetings to learn what my colleagues are working on. I would like the talks to explain a broader scope of the presented research (and research topic), and not just an update of the speaker's personal research.*
- *Some meetings are too technical, but some aren't, depends on the speaker.*
- *20 to 30 minutes with discussion is a good frame for a speaker. Urge them to address all CWI-scientists, outside the own group.*
- *It's a shame the lunch sandwiches have butter*