

Modeling Infectious Diseases: Projecting Spread, Evaluating Interventions, and Resource Allocation

Pinar Keskinocak, Ph.D.

William W. George Chair and Professor, School of Industrial and Systems Engineering Director, Center for Health and Humanitarian Systems



MISSION

Make a positive "impact" through improved health & humanitarian systems worldwide





Source: Nigeria Health Online, 2016

Prevention

Insecticide nets Indoor residual spraying Vaccines

Source: Medicins Sans Frontieres, 2015

Surveillance

Monitoring of confirmed malaria cases

Source: Making Malaria History, 2014

Treatment

Rapid diagnostic tests and artemisinin-based combination therapy

Source: Nigeria Health Online, 2016

Prevention

Insecticide nets Indoor residual spraying Vaccines

Source: Medicins Sans Frontieres, 2015

Surveillance

Monitoring of confirmed malaria cases

Source: Making Malaria History, 2014

Treatment

Rapid diagnostic tests and artemisinin-based combination therapy

STOP CHOLERA

Make Water Safe

TO PREVENT CHOLERA

With the assistance of his colleagues, Wegwa Odol Othow (yellow shirt) measures a pond for application of a safe larvicide that helps stop the Guinea worm life cycle.

www.cdc.gov/coronavirus

Disease Models \rightarrow Decision-Making

- Understanding and projecting the disease spread
- Evaluating the impact of intervention strategies
- Estimating resource needs
- Resource planning and allocation

Geographically and over time, by sub-populations

Figure shows projected disease spread of flu after 90 days (Keskinocak/Swann team)

Influenza

Cholera

Guinea worm

Malaria

Polio

Infectious Disease Modeling

Disease progression in an individual – Natural history

Disease spread

https://www.cdc.gov/media/subtopic/images.htm https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/antifungal-resistance.html https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plasmodium_falciparum

Natural history – Example: Covid19

Disease spread – Example: Covid19

- Households
- Peer groups (e.g., workplace and schools)
- Community
- Household statistics, classroom sizes, age statistics
- Mobility & interaction patterns, e.g., workflow data

Disease spread - Transmission

- Human-to-human
 - Respiratory diseases (e.g., flu, Covid-19), STDs

Vectors/Animals

Malaria, Guinea Worm

Disease spread – Example: Malaria

Mosquito

- Natural death rate
- Percent of the population that bites per day
- Duration in the incubation stage
- Probability of a mosquito contracting malaria from a human in the incubation and infection stage
- Probability of contracting malaria from an asymptomatic person

- Human
- Probability of contracting malaria from an incubating or infectious mosquito
- Duration in the incubation stage for each age group
- Probability of transitioning from slow recovery to immunity / fast recovery
- Lag from incubation to symptomatic, infectious
- Recovery rates
- ..

• ..

• Environmental or other risk factors

Disease spread - Transmission

- Human-to-human
 - Respiratory diseases (e.g., flu, Covid-19), STDs
- Vectors/Animals
 - Malaria, Guinea Worm

Figure 1.20 Complex Life Cycle of *Dracunculus medinensis* (Guinea worm)

Guinea Worm Disease

Number of Reported Cases of Guinea Worm Disease by Year: 1989 -2016

Guinea Worm Disease in Chad

Zero Human Cases in Chad For 9 years 149 127 10 10 10 14 n n

> CHAD GUINEA WORM ERADICATION PROGRAM FREQUENCY OF DRACUNCULIASIS AMONG HUMANS AND DOGS BY MONTH DURING 2012^-2017*

Guinea Worm Transmission Model

- Agent-based model
- Environmental factors: temperature & rainfall → Worm burden in water → Rate of infection

https://www.ajtmh.org/view/journals/tpmd/103/5/article-p1942.xml

Agent-Based Simulation for Seasonal Guinea Worm Disease in Chad Dogs

Journal of the American Society of Tyler Perini¹, Pinar Keskinocak¹, Zihao Li¹, Ernesto Ruiz-Tiben², Julie Swann^{1,3}, and Adam Weiss²

Disease spread - Transmission

- Human-to-human
 - Respiratory diseases (e.g., flu, Covid-19), STDs
- Vector
 - Malaria, Guinea worm
- Vehicles
 - Cholera, typhoid fever, salmonella

Cholera Transmission

- Oral-Fecal Pathway
 - Five F's: Feces, Fingers, Flies, Fields, Fluids, Food

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:F-diagram-01.jpg#/media/File:F-diagram-01.jpg

Cholera Worldwide

- 7 major pandemics in recorded history
- Many notable outbreaks since 1991. Examples:
 - 2008: Zimbabwe
 - 2010: Nigeria, Haiti, Dominican Republic
 - 2014: Ghana
- Cholera endemic in many places
- Overall, 1.4-4.3 million cases of cholera per year, leading to 28,000-142,000 deaths (WHO, 2014)

Cholera Impact - Incidence (new cases) of disease and mortality differ by age

Environmental or other risk factors

Piarroux et al (2009), The journal of field actions. (Democratic Republic of Congo)

Resource allocation – Example: Oral cholera vaccine

Incidence: A Mixed Integer Programming Model and Analysis of a Bangladesh Scenario," Vaccine, Vol.33, No.46, 6218–6223.

Our Contribution

- Optimize OCV distribution policies to determine the best OCV allocation strategy to minimize cases or deaths:
 - Differentiate groups by age AND region, with varying risk levels
 - Consider fixed and varying vaccine efficacies based on age and years since vaccination
- Quantify cost-effectiveness of strategies
- J. Ahmed, P. K. Bardhan, W. Carter, L. Gonzalez, R. Hall, J. Heeger. L. Ivers, A. Khan, P. Keskinocak, H. Matzger, M. Mengel, D. Nazzal, C. Paradiso, F. Qadri, D. Sack, M. Villareal, S.A. Zahan (2013), "Comprehensive Integrated Strategy for Cholera Prevention and Control," Coalition for Cholera Prevention and Control, August. http://choleracoalition.org/resources/
- P. Keskinocak, D. Nazzal, M. Villarreal (2013), "Procurement and Logistics," Meeting of the Coalition for Cholera Prevention and Control, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, June 3-4.
- H.K. Smalley, P. Keskinocak, J. Swann, A. Hinman (2015), "Optimized Oral Cholera Vaccine Distribution Strategies to Minimize Disease Incidence: A Mixed Integer Programming Model and Analysis of a Bangladesh Scenario," *Vaccine*, Vol.33, No.46, 6218–6223.

Examples of Interventions

- Pharmaceutical
 - Vaccines, antivirals
- Non-pharmaceutical
 - School closures, Travel restrictions, Physical distancing (e.g., voluntary quarantine), Age-based restrictions, ...
- Combined strategies: Testing, tracing, isolation
- Behaviors/Compliance (geographically, over time, subpopulations)
- How, where, when to allocate limited resources?

Infectious Disease Modeling

Disease progression in an individual – Natural history

Environment Interventions Behaviors

Disease spread

Metrics/outcomes of interest (by age group, geographically, subpopulation, etc.)

- New infections per time period, e.g., daily
- Timing and magnitude of the "peak"
- Total number of infections or % of population infected (IAR)
- Number or % hospitalized or dead
- Resource needs (e.g., hospital beds, ventilators)

COVID19 MODELING AND EVALUATING INTERVENTION STRATEGIES

Collaborators include: John Asplund, Ph.D.; Emma Baubly; Arden Baxter; Saurabh Doodhwala; Akane Fujimoto; Daniel Kim; Dima Nazzal, Ph.D.; Buse Eylul Oruc; Pelin Pekgun, Ph.D., Lauren Steimle, Ph.D.; Tyler Perini; Josh Rosenblum; Erik Rosenstrom; Nicoleta Serban, Ph.D.; Melody Shellman; Chris Stone; Julie Swann, Ph.D.; Inci Yildirim, MD, Ph.D.; April Yu; Georgia Tech Institute for People and Technology; GA Department of Public Health *Funding:* "Integrated Systems Model to Inform State and Local Planning for the COVID-19 Pandemic," Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE); RADx Underserved Populations (RADx-UP) program

Research insights

- Modeling the disease spread → Projections
- Evaluating the impact of interventions
 - School closures, Shelter-in-place, voluntaryquarantine
- Estimating resource needs
- Impact of interventions on society: "homebound days" versus reduction in disease spread
- Impact of testing/isolation depending on a compliance
- Vaccine allocation Benefits of serology testing 2
- Tradeoff between vaccine efficacy versus reach

S	C	ENARI	OS	FOF	R PF	IYS	ICAL	DIS	T	ANCIN	G			Georgia Tech Georgia Georgia Tech	alth & nanitariar stems ^{Jinary Research Center} Jalth 1alytics t School of Industrial	
	s		Feb 18	Feb 25	Mar 3	Mar 10	Mar _ 16	A	Apr 3		Ма 1	ay May 8	May 15	Sep 	ngineering	
:	eline	No Intervention														
	Base	School Clos	ure				Mar 16: S	chool Clo	sui	res						
			30% VQ	40% VQ	50% VQ	60% \	/Q Mar 16: S	chool Clo	sui	res						
		Scenario 1				,		SI	P:	4 weeks	LOV VSI	V VQ				
		Scenario 2	Vo	luntar	y Qua	rantin	e (VQ):	SI	P:	4 weeks MEDIUM VQ VSIP						
	s	Scenario 3	— All ho	house me if t	hold n here is	nembe a per	ers stay son with	SI	P:	4 weeks	HIGH VQ VSIP					
;	lion	Scenario 4	co	ld/flu li	ke syn	nptoms	s in the	SI	SIP: 5 weeks			LOW VO	Q			
	irver	Scenario 5	ho	useho	ld is sy	mptor	nure n-free.	SI	P:	5 weeks			M VQ			
	Inte	Scenario 6						SI	P: 5 weeks VSIP			Q				
		Scenario 7						SI	IP: 6 weeks			_OW VQ VSIP				
		Scenario 8						SI	SIP: 6 weeks			MEDIUM √SIP	VQ			
		Scenario 9						SI	P:	6 w	veeks					
		LOW VQ: weekly de at 20%	70% V crease	/Q wit e and s	n 20% tabiliz	e	MEDIUN 5% wee stabilize	N VQ: 8 kly dec at 25%	Q: 80% VQ with decrease and 25% HIGH VQ at 30%					% VQ with 3% ase and stabilize		
////		Shelter In Place (SIP): Start on April 3								VSIP: 60% V to 40%, 20% continue at 5	/SIP 5, 5% 5%	and dec weekly	rease then		E NEXT°	

"You may build all the ICUs you want. You may have all the ventilators you need. But you will not have the staff you need," del Rio said. "There simply are not enough ICU nurses or ICU doctors to take care of the patients."

Research questions

- Modeling the disease spread
- Evaluating the impact of interventions
 - School closures & reopening, Shelter-in-place, voluntary-quarantine
- Impact of interventions on society: "homebound days" versus reduction in disease spread
- Impact of testing/isolation depending on compliance
- Vaccine allocation Benefits of serology testing
- Tradeoff between vaccine efficacy versus reach

Research questions

- Modeling the disease spread
- Evaluating the impact of interventions
 - School closures & reopening, Shelter-in-place, voluntary-quarantine
- Impact of interventions on society: "homebound days" versus reduction in disease spread
- Vaccine allocation Benefits of serology testing?
- Tradeoff between vaccine efficacy versus reach
- Impact of testing/isolation depending compliance

Health, society, economy, etc. – complex tradeoffs

Research insights

- Modeling the disease spread \rightarrow Projections
- Evaluating the impact of interventions
 - School closures, Shelter-in-place, voluntaryquarantine
- Impact of interventions on society: "homebound days" versus reduction in disease spread
- Vaccine allocation Benefits of serology testing?

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X21008288?via%3Dihub

Vaccine, Volume 39, Issue 35, 16 August 2021, Pages 5055-5063 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X21008288?via%3Dihub

	1.2																								
		1.68 (Jun 2)						1.46 (Aug 2)							1.	.35 (Oct	1)] [1.27 (Dec 1)					
	None - 68.63					56.05						46.86					39.85								
	Jan -	68.63	68.63	68.63	68.63	68.63	(+7)	56.04	56.04	56.04	56.04	56.04	(+5)	46.56	46.54	46.5	46.47	46.43	(+3)	36.7	36.55	36.38	36.21	36.03	(+1)
	Dec-	68.63	68.63	68.63	68.63	68.63	(+6)	56.02	56.02	56.01	56.01	56	(+4)	45.95	45.88	45.8	45.7	45.59	(+2)	33.1	32.87	32.64	32.37	32.12	(0)
cine Timing	Nov-	68.63	68.63	68.63	68.63	68.63	(+5)	55.94	55.92	55.91	55.89	55.86	(+3)	44.32	44.15	43.98	43.77	43.54	(+1)	27.53	27.28	27.02	26.75	26.46	(-1)
	Oct-	68.62	68.62	68.62	68.62	68.62	(+4)	55.59	55.54	55.48	55.39	55.29	(+2)	40.38	40.09	39.75	39.41	39.07	(0)	20.1	19.87	19.7	19.53	19.32	(-2)
	Sep-	68.61	68.6	68.6	68.59	68.58	(+3)	54.31	54.15	53.94	53.71	53.44	(+1)	33.52	33.18	32.85	32.47	32.13	(-1)	12.67	12.64	12.48	12.36	12.28	(-3)
/ac	Aug-	68.49	68.47	68.44	68.39	68.32	(+2)	50.13	49.79	49.38	48.92	48.44	(0)	24.03	23.73	23.45	23.24	22.97	(-2)	6.51	6.49	6.46	6.45	6.39	(-4)
1	Jul -	67.72	67.59	67.42	67.18	66.86	(+1)	41.54	41.07	40.61	40.14	39.6	(-1)	13.96	13.82	13.61	13.51	13.46	(-3)	2.82	2.79	2.8	2.79	2.78	(-5)
	Jun -	63.83	63.44	62.95	62.36	61.71	(0)	29.97	29.61	29.23	28.88	28.51	(-2)	6.25	6.22	6.23	6.14	6.14	(-4)	1.12	1.11	1.12	1.12	1.11	(-6)
	May-	54.03	53.5	52.89	52.26	51.53	(-1)	16.58	16.26	16.16	16.02	15.84	(-3)	2.13	2.1	2.11	2.1	2.1	(-5)	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.4	(-7)
		o	0.25	0.5	0.75	1		0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1		0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1		0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1	-
Probability of getting serology test (p)																									
											IAR	(%)	20	40	60										

R0 (Baseline Peak Day)

Figure 2: *Infection attack rate* for the scenarios evaluated when the vaccine is available for 50% of the population and the vaccine efficacy is 90%.

- Highest IAR: High R0 and vaccination available after the peak.
- Impact of serology test highest when the vaccines are deployed close to the peak time

Research insights

- Modeling the disease spread \rightarrow Projections
- Evaluating the impact of interventions
 - School closures, Shelter-in-place, voluntaryquarantine
- Impact of interventions on society: "homebound days" versus reduction in disease spread
- Vaccine allocation Benefits of serology testing?
- Impact of testing/isolation depending on compliance
- Tradeoff between vaccine efficacy versus reach

Tradeoffs between vaccine efficacy and reach

> Vaccine. 2021 Oct 18;S0264-410X(21)01346-3. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.10.025. Online ahead of print.

Resource allocation for different types of vaccines against COVID-19: Tradeoffs and synergies between efficacy and reach

Daniel Kim ¹, Pelin Pekgün ², İnci Yildirim ³, Pınar Keskinocak ⁴

Research insights

- Modeling the disease spread \rightarrow Projections
- Evaluating the impact of interventions
 - School closures, Shelter-in-place, voluntaryquarantine
- Impact of interventions on society: "homebound days" versus reduction in disease spread
- Impact of testing/isolation depending on compliance
- Vaccine allocation Benefits of serology testing?
- Tradeoff between vaccine efficacy versus reach
- Dashboards

Dashboards https://chhs.gatech.edu/covid19-dashboard

Number of people vaccinated in subpopulation Total number of people in subpopulation x100

