

A note on polynomiallike arithmetical functions.

F. Berg and Th. Maxsein (Frankfurt a.M.)

1. Introduction. Very often, not only in Number-Theory or Analysis, it is interesting to decide whether a given function is a polynomial. In the present note we show, that the polynomials are the only arithmetical functions $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy a growth-condition and a certain divisibility-property.

Denote by \mathcal{G}_t the set of functions $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$(*) \quad a-b \mid f(a) - f(b)$$

and

$$(**) \quad f(n) = O(|n|^t)$$

hold.

Our aim is to prove the following

Theorem: Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_t$. Then f is an integer-valued polynomial.

For abbreviation denote by \mathcal{P}_t the set of integer-valued polynomials $g : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with degree $\leq t$ and by \mathcal{P}_t^* the subset of such polynomials with integer coefficients. Clearly the theorem means, that \mathcal{G}_t is included in \mathcal{P}_t .

Universität Frankfurt
 Fachbereich Mathematik
 1985

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

In order to prove the theorem, we list some useful lemmas.

Lemma 1: \mathbb{Q}_t is a \mathbb{Z} -Module.

Proof: Clear.

Lemma 2: \mathbb{P}_t^* is a submodule of \mathbb{Q}_t .

Proof: Clear.

Lemma 3: For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the integer

$$\gcd(a \cdot (a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+k-1), a+k)$$

is a divisor of $k!$.

Proof: By induction. $k = 1$ is trivial.

Assume that for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ the relation

$$\gcd(a \cdot (a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+k-1), a+k) \mid k!$$

holds and set

$$d := \gcd(a \cdot (a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+k), a+k+1).$$

Suppose $p^\alpha \parallel d$, $p^\beta \parallel a$, $p^\gamma \parallel (a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+k)$ and $p^\delta \parallel a+k+1$.

Then clearly $\alpha = \min(\beta + \gamma, \delta)$.

We have $p^{\min(\beta, \delta)} \mid k+1$ and $p^{\min(\gamma, \delta)} \mid k!$ by assumption,

and therefore $p^{\min(\beta, \delta) + \min(\gamma, \delta)} \mid (k+1)!$.

The inequality

$$\min(\beta + \gamma, \delta) \leq \min(\beta, \delta) + \min(\gamma, \delta)$$

implies

$$p^\alpha \mid (k+1)!$$

and this yields the assertion in the case $k+1$.

3. Proof of the theorem

a) We start by constructing functions f_j , $1 \leq j \leq t$, with the following properties:

$$(i) \quad f_j \in \mathbb{Q}_t$$

$$(ii) \quad f_j(m) = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 0, \dots, j-1$$

$$(iii) \quad \prod_{m=0}^{j-1} (n-m) \mid f_j(n) \quad \text{for } n \notin \{0, \dots, j-1\} .$$

Clearly, the function

$$f_1(n) := f(n) - f(0)$$

satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) .

Assume, that f_{j-1} has already been constructed.

Define

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{f}_j(n) := f_{j-1}(n) - n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-j+2) \frac{f_{j-1}(j-1)}{(j-1)!}$$

$$(3.2) \quad = f_{j-1}(n) - f_{j-1}(j-1) - \\ - \frac{f_{j-1}(j-1)}{(j-1)!} \{n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-j+2) - (j-1)!\}$$

Abbreviating $n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-j+2) - (j-1)!$ by $q(n)$, we obtain

$$q(j-1) = 0$$

and therefore

$$(3.3) \quad (n-j+1) \mid \tilde{f}_j(n)$$

because of (*) and (3.2).

It follows from (3.1), that

$$(3.4) \quad n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-j+2) \mid \tilde{f}_j(n) .$$

In consideration of Lemma 3 and using (3.3) and (3.4), we arrive at

$$(3.5) \quad n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-j+1) \mid (j-1)! \tilde{f}_j(n).$$

Hence, the function

$$f_j(n) := (j-1)! \tilde{f}_j(n)$$

has the desired properties.

b) Next, we consider the function

$$g(n) := \frac{f_t(n)}{n(n-1)\cdots(n-t+1)} \quad \text{for } n \notin \{0, \dots, t-1\} .$$

Then clearly g is integer-valued and bounded.

c) Let a be a fixed integer, $a \notin \{0, \dots, t-1\}$.

Since

$$m \mid f_t(a+m) - f_t(a) ,$$

it follows

$$m \mid a(a-1)\cdots(a-t+1) \{g(a+m)-g(a)\}$$

by definition of g and therefore

$$g(a+m) = g(a) =: C$$

for $a \notin \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ and $|m|$ sufficiently large.

d) Repeating the argument used in c), we obtain

$$g(n) = C$$

for all $n \notin \{0, \dots, t-1\}$.

e) From b) and d) it follows, that

$$f_t(n) = C \cdot n(n-1)\cdots(n-t+1) \quad \text{for } n \notin \{0, \dots, t-1\} .$$

But $f_t(n) = 0$ for $n = 0, \dots, t-1$, and so f_t is a polynomial with integer coefficients.

By construction it is clear, that f is an integer-valued polynomial, and the proof is complete.

4. Final remarks

From Lemma 2 we obtain, that every polynomial with integer coefficients fulfills the condition (*). Of course, this is not true for integer-valued polynomials in general. For example, the values of the polynomial

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{2} n^2 - \frac{1}{2} n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

are integers for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, but

$$f(n) - f(m) = (n-m) \frac{n+m-1}{2} .$$

On the other hand, the polynomial

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{2} n^4 + \frac{1}{2} n^2 = \frac{n^2(n^2+1)}{2}$$

satisfies the condition (*). Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{P}_t^* \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}_t \subsetneq \mathbb{P}_t .$$

Authors address:
FB Mathematik der
Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität
Robert-Mayer-Str.10
D-6000 Frankfurt a.M.